
GENERAL ELEMENTS OF AN m -PRIMARY IDEAL ON A NORMAL SURFACE SINGULARITY

by

Romain Bondil

Abstract. — In this paper, we show how to apply a theorem by Lê D.T. and the author about linear families of curves on normal surface singularities to get new results in this area. The main concept used is a precise definition of *general elements* of an ideal in the local ring of the surface. We make explicit the connection between this notion and the more elementary notion of general element of a linear pencil, through the use of *integral closure of ideals*. This allows us to prove the invariance of the generic Milnor number (resp. of the multiplicity of the discriminant), between two pencils generating two ideals with the same integral closure (resp. the projections associated). We also show that our theorem, applied in two special cases, on the one hand completes, removing an unnecessary hypothesis, a theorem by J. Snoussi on the limits of tangent hyperplanes, and on the other hand gives an algebraic μ -constant theorem in linear families of planes curves.

Résumé (Eléments généraux d'un idéal m -primaire sur une singularité de surface normale)

Dans ce travail, on expose des applications d'un théorème obtenu avec Lê D.T. sur les familles linéaires de courbes sur une singularité de surface normale. Le principal concept utilisé est une définition précise d'éléments généraux dans un idéal m -primaire de l'anneau local de la surface. On explicite le lien qui existe entre cette notion et celle, plus élémentaire, d'élément général d'un pinceau linéaire grâce à la notion de clôture intégrale des idéaux.

Ceci permet de prouver l'invariance de la valeur du nombre de Milnor générique (resp. de la multiplicité du discriminant) si l'on considère différents pinceaux engendrant des idéaux de même clôture intégrale (resp. les projections associées).

Nous montrons aussi comment ce résultat complète, en enlevant une hypothèse inutile, un théorème de J. Snoussi sur les limites d'hyperplans tangents, et d'autre part donne aussi un théorème de type μ -constant algébrique pour les familles linéaires de courbes planes.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 32S15, 32S25 and 14J17, 14H20.

Key words and phrases. — surface singularity, general element, Milnor number, integral closure of ideals, complete ideals, limits of tangent hyperplanes, discriminants.

Introduction

Let $(S, 0)$ be a germ of normal complex-analytic surface, with local ring $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$ corresponding to the germs of holomorphic functions on $(S, 0)$, and maximal ideal m , formed by the germs taking the value 0 at 0.

To any couple (f, g) of elements of m , one may associate three related objects : the *linear pencil* of the curves $C_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha f + \beta g = 0$ with $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, the *ideal* $J = (f, g)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$, and the *projection* :

$$\begin{aligned} p : (S, 0) &\rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0), \\ x &\mapsto (f(x), g(x)). \end{aligned}$$

We will always assume that the curves $f = 0$ and $g = 0$ share no common component (in other words : the corresponding linear system has no *fixed component*, the ideal J is *m-primary*, and the projection p is *finite*).

Denoting by $(\Delta_p, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ the discriminant of the projection p (see § 4), one may define a *general element of the pencil* $(C_{\alpha,\beta})$ as the inverse-image by p of any line $\alpha x + \beta y = 0$ in \mathbb{C}^2 which does not lie in the tangent cone of $(\Delta_p, 0)$.

One may in turn define an element $h = af + bg \in J$ with $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_{S,0}$ to be *general* if, and only if, $a(0)f + b(0)g$ defines a general element of the pencil $(C_{\alpha,\beta})$.

In fact, we define here, for *any* m -primary ideal I in $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$, a notion of *general element* which has the following property : take any pair (f, g) of elements of I such that the ideal $J = (f, g)$ is a *reduction* of I (see § 1), then the general elements of J (in the ‘‘pencil’’ sense) will be general elements of I , and conversely any general element of I will be obtained as an element of such a reduction.

However, this will not be our first definition of the *general elements of I* since we rather define them purely by their behaviour on the normalized blow-up of I (cf. def. 2.1).

In a previous paper, we proved that these elements are characterised by their Milnor number (theorem 2.3). Here, we focus on the applications of this result :

In § 3, we show how it covers both the study of limit of hyperplanes tangent to a normal surface, and the study of linear systems of plane curves, proving on one side a complement to a theorem by J. Snoussi, and on the other side an algebraic μ -constant theorem for linear systems of plane curves (also obtained by other means by E. Casas).

In § 4, we prove the relation between our definition of general elements of I and the one for pencils as claimed above. As a corollary, for two pencils (f, g) and (f', g') defining a reduction of I , the general elements of both pencils have the same Milnor number, and the discriminants of the corresponding projections have the same multiplicity.

1. Geometry of a theorem by Samuel

In this section only, we consider a germ $(X, 0)$ of complex analytic space with arbitrary dimension d . We let $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_{X,0}$ be the corresponding local analytic ring.

In fact, the content of this section can be extended to any local noetherian ring with infinite residue field (see e.g. [Li] or [Bo] Chap. 2.3).

We recall that an element $f \in \mathcal{O}$ is said to be *integrally dependant* on an ideal I of \mathcal{O} if it satisfies an equation :

$$f^n + a_1 f^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0,$$

with the condition $a_i \in I^i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

The theory of integral dependance on ideals was initiated by O. Zariski (see [S-Z] Appendix 4) and under the influence of H. Hironaka was developed in the seminar [LJ-Te] where several characterisations are given. In the hands of B. Teissier, it became a cornerstone in the theory of equisingularity (see e.g. [Te-2] Chap. 1). More recently, the theory was extended to modules under the impulse of T. Gaffney (see the survey [Ga-Ma]).

Let us just mention that the set \bar{I} of the elements of \mathcal{O} integrally dependant on I is an ideal, called the integral closure of I in \mathcal{O} , and that the definition of integral closure finds a natural expression on the blow-up X_I of the germ $(X, 0)$ along I (see [Te-2]).

For the sake of simplicity, we restrict here to the case of a *reduced* germ $(X, 0)$ (cf. [Bo] loc. cit. for the general case). Then one may take the normalization $\overline{X_I}$ of the blow-up X_I , and following [Te-2] (Chap. 1, (1.3.6) et seq.), one proves that the equality $\bar{I} = \bar{J}$ of integral closures of ideals in \mathcal{O} is equivalent to the equality :

$$(1) \quad I.\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X_I}} = J.\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X_I}},$$

for the corresponding sheaves on the normalized blow-up $\overline{X_I}$.

We now take I to be an m -primary ideal of \mathcal{O} i.e. containing a power m^s of the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O} .

Denoting by $\bar{b}_I : \overline{X_I} \rightarrow (X, 0)$ the normalized blow-up, we write D_1, \dots, D_s for the irreducible components of the reduced exceptional divisor $|(\bar{b}_I)^{-1}(0)|$, and v_{D_i} for the valuation along D_i .

Then we define (cf. [B-L-1] déf-prop. 1) an element $f \in I$ to be *v -superficial* if, and only if,

$$(2) \quad v_{D_i}(f) = v_{D_i}(I) := \inf\{v_{D_i}(g), g \in I\} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, s.$$

Denoting by $D_f := \sum_{i=1}^s v_{D_i}(f)D_i$, the total transform $(f)^* := (f \circ \bar{b}_I)$ on $\overline{X_I}$ may be written as a sum of divisors :

$$(f)^* = (f)' + D_f,$$

with $(f)'$ the strict transform of f on $\overline{X_I}$.

The first part of the following proposition is an avatar of a theorem by P. Samuel. The second part is the geometric version announced in the title :

Proposition 1.1. — i) *Let \mathcal{O} be a local noetherian ring of dimension d with infinite residue field \mathcal{O}/m . Let I be an m -primary ideal of \mathcal{O} . There exists a d -tuple (f_1, \dots, f_d) of elements of I such that the ideal (f_1, \dots, f_d) is a reduction of I , i.e. has the same integral closure as I .*

ii) In our setting, let \mathcal{O} be the local ring of a reduced analytic germ $(X, 0)$. The d -tuples in i) are characterized by the two conditions that first, all the f_i are v -superficial in I and secondly, the intersection of their strict transforms $(f_i)'$ with the exceptional divisor \mathcal{D} verifies :

$$(f_1)' \cap (f_2)' \cap \cdots \cap (f_d)' \cap \mathcal{D} = \emptyset.$$

We call such a d -tuple a good d -tuple of v -superficial elements in I .

We will not give the proof here, but the reader should understand that ii) also easily gives the proof of i) thanks to the characterisation on (1) above. In fact, the same “geometric proof” works under the general hypotheses of i) but one has to work on the non normalized blow-up (see [Bo] Chap. 2).

The original theorem by Samuel was formulated in terms of multiplicities (cf. [S-Z] Chap. VIII thm. 22) so that it seems relevant to mention the following :

Proposition 1.2. — *Let \mathcal{O} be analytic local integral domain, and I an m -primary ideal of \mathcal{O} . The multiplicity $e(I/(f), \mathcal{O}/(f)) = e(I, \mathcal{O})$ if, and only if, f is v -superficial.*

This result can be deduced from a general formula for $e(I/(f), \mathcal{O}/(f))$ due to Flenner and Vogel in [F1-Vo] (for any noetherian local ring).

2. General elements of an ideal

From now on, we restrict ourselves to a two-dimensional normal germ $(S, 0)$.⁽¹⁾

Definition 2.1. — *Let \mathcal{O} be the local ring of a germ of normal surface $(S, 0)$ and let I be an m -primary ideal of \mathcal{O} . Adapting the notation from section 1, \overline{S}_I denotes the normalized blow-up of I on $(S, 0)$. We define an element $f \in I$ to be general if, and only if,*

- (i) f is v -superficial in I (cf. § 1 (2)),
- (ii) the strict transform $(f)'$ is a smooth curve transversal to the exceptional divisor \mathcal{D} in \overline{S}_I , which means that $(f)'$ does not go through singular points either of \overline{S}_I or of \mathcal{D} and that the intersection is transverse.

Consider any resolution $r : X \rightarrow \overline{S}_I$ of the singularities of \overline{S}_I , good in the sense that, denoting by $\pi = \overline{b}_I \circ r : X \rightarrow (S, 0)$, the exceptional divisor $Z = \pi^{-1}(0)$ has only normal-crossing singularities.

Denote by $(f \circ \pi) = (f)' + Z_f$ the decomposition of the total transform of (f) on X into an exceptional (compact) part Z_f and its strict transform denoted again $(f)'$.

Denoting Z_I the divisor defined by $I \cdot \mathcal{O}_X$ on X , we easily get the following :

Proposition 2.2. — *With the notation as above, $f \in I$ is general if, and only if, its total transform on X is such that :*

- α) its exceptional part is the generic one for the elements of I i.e. $Z_f = Z_I$,
- β) its strict transform is a (multi-germ of) smooth curves transversal to Z .

⁽¹⁾For the elementary properties of normal surfaces we use here, see [Sn] § 2.6, and [B-L-2].

As a corollary to this proposition, it is possible (either by a computation of Euler-Poincaré characteristic of covering spaces as indicated in [B-L-1] § 4, which followed [GS], or by an algebraic derivation from a Riemann-Roch formula as in [Mo] 2.1.4) to compute the Milnor number (in the sense of [Bu-Gr]) of the complex curve defined by any general element $f \in I$. We then get :

$$(3) \quad \mu(f) = \mu_I := 1 - (Z_I \cdot (Z_I - |Z_I| - K)),$$

on any good resolution as defined before the proposition, where $|Z_I|$ (resp. K) denote the reduced divisor associated to Z_I (resp. the numerically canonical cycle) and (\cdot) denotes the intersection product (see [B-L-1] or [Bo] chap. 3 for more details).

The main theorem in [B-L-1] is the converse implication :

Theorem 2.3. — *Let $(S, 0)$ a germ of normal surface singularity, and I an m -primary ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$. An element $f \in I$ is general in the sense of 2.1 if, and only if, the Milnor number $\mu(f)$ has the value μ_I prescribed by formula (3), which is also the minimum Milnor number for the elements of I .*

Remark 2.4. — Thanks to the algebraic computation of the Milnor number for general elements which follows from [Mo] (see before formula (3)), theorem 2.3 is proved without any topological argument, so that the proof fits to the setting of algebraic geometry over any algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

3. Two special cases

3.1. The case when $(S, 0)$ is arbitrary but $I = m$. — For a germ $(S, 0)$ of normal surface, given an embedding $(S, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$ defined by N generators of the maximal ideal m of $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$, we may consider the elements $f \in m$ as hypersurface sections of S . From this point of view, J. Snoussi studies in [Sn] what he calls the *general hyperplanes* with respect to $(S, 0)$. An hyperplane $H \ni 0$ in \mathbb{C}^N is said to be *general* for $(S, 0)$ if, and only if, it is not the limit of hyperplanes tangent to the non singular locus of a small representative of $(S, 0)$ in \mathbb{C}^N (loc. cit. déf. 2.2). He then proves (loc. cit. thm. 4.2.) :

Theorem 3.1 (Snoussi). — *If $(S, 0)$ is a normal surface singularity embedded in $(\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$, and if H is a hyperplane which does not contain an irreducible component of the tangent cone $C_{S,0}$ of $(S, 0)$, then H is general if, and only if, the Milnor number $\mu(H \cap S, 0)$ is minimum among the Milnor numbers of hyperplane sections of $(S, 0)$.*

From the definition of v -superficial elements given in § 1 (2), it is clear that *the equation of a hyperplane H defines a v -superficial element of the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$ if, and only if, H does not contain an irreducible component of $C_{S,0}$* . Hence, our theorem 2.3 improves theorem 3.1 as follows (see (ii)) :

Corollary 3.2 (of our theorem 2.3). —

(i) *The equation of a general hyperplane in the sense of Snoussi defines a general element of m in the sense of definition 2.1. Conversely, if one takes a general element*

$f \in m$ and any embedding of $(S, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, 0)$ such that f is induced by a coordinate function, $f = 0$ defines a general hyperplane.

(ii) In theorem 3.1, one may remove the hypothesis “ H does not contain an irreducible component of the tangent cone $C_{S,0}$ of $(S, 0)$ ” since theorem 2.3 proved that elements with μ minimum necessarily have this property.⁽²⁾

3.2. The case when $(S, 0) = (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ and I is arbitrary. — Since the definition of general element of an ideal given in def 2.1 was the same for an ideal I and its integral closure \bar{I} we consider only integrally closed ideals in the following discussion i.e. ideals such that $I = \bar{I}$.

These ideals were first studied by O. Zariski (see [S-Z] App. 5, where they are rather called *complete*) as the algebraization of Enriques’ theory of clusters of points. For all this, we refer to the nice survey [LJ], and the book [Ca] :

A cluster $K = (0_i, \nu_i)_i$ is a set of points 0_i *infinitely near* 0 i.e. lying above 0 in a sequence of point blow-ups starting from $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$, with ascribed multiplicities ν_i . There is a one-to-one correspondence between the integrally closed ideals of $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ and the clusters $(0_i, \nu_i)$ satisfying the so-called *proximity relations* of Enriques (see [LJ] 5.1), also called *consistent clusters* in [Ca] (p. 124).

For such a cluster $K = (0_i, \nu_i)_i$, the corresponding ideal I_K is defined as the set of f such that *virtual multiplicity* of the curve defined by f at the point 0_i is at least ν_i (cf. loc. cit.).⁽³⁾

Now $f \in I_K$ is said to *go sharply through* K if, and only if, f goes through the 0_i with effective multiplicity equal to the ν_i and has no singular points outside K (cf. [Ca] p. 127).

Remark 3.3. — It is easy to see that two germs going sharply through K are *equisingular* (cf. [Ca] p. 127), in the sense of the well-known equisingularity theory of germs of plane curves.

The careful study in [LJ], compared to our proposition 2.2, yields :

Lemma 3.4. — *For an integrally closed m -primary ideal I of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2, 0}$, corresponding to a (consistent) cluster K , an element $f \in I$ is general in the sense of our def. 2.1 if, and only if, f goes sharply through K in the sense above.*

Proof. — The proof of (ii) \Leftrightarrow (ii’) in [LJ] p. 360-361, gives exactly the equivalence between the fact that f goes through the O_i with effective multiplicity ν_i and the fact that $Z_f = Z_I$ on the minimal resolution of the blow-up of $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ along I (notation of prop. 2.2).

Now the fact that f has no singular points outside K gives that the strict transform of f on S is transversal to the exceptional divisor by the argument of [LJ], proof of 6.1. (applied to each branch of f corresponding to a simple ideal in the decomposition of I). The converse is clear. \square

⁽²⁾Note that this is exactly the tricky part of the argument in [B-L-1].

⁽³⁾In [Bo] Chap. 1, we explain how, once I_K is known, the virtual multiplicities of the elements of I coincide with the multiplicities of their weak transforms (cf. loc. cit. 1.1.6).

With this, we get from our theorem 2.3 and rem. 3.3 the following :

Corollary 3.5. — *For an integrally closed m -primary ideal I of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2,0}$, all the elements $f \in I$ such that $\mu(f)$ has the generic value μ_I are equisingular.*

Note that this μ -constant result for linear systems of plane curves is obtained without using topology (cf. rem. 2.4). Another algebro-geometric proof of the same result is derived from the theory of clusters in [Ca] § 7.3. No such algebraic proof is known for the much more general theorem of Lê (in [Lê-1]) on arbitrary (non-linear) families of germs of plane curves (cf. the remark on p. 361 in [Te-2]).

4. General elements and discriminants

We go back to our general setting i.e. $(S, 0)$ is any germ of normal surface singularity, I any m -primary ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$ and we take (f, g) a good couple of v -superficial elements in I (cf. prop. 1.1) so that $J = (f, g)$ is a reduction of I .

Let $p : (S, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be the projection corresponding to f, g as in the introduction, whose degree $\deg(p)$ is by definition the multiplicity $e(f, g) = e(I)$.

Following Teissier (cf. [Te-1]), one defines the critical space $(C_p, 0)$ of p by the ideal $I_{C_p} = F_0(\Omega_p)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$, where Ω_p denotes the module of relative differentials, and F_0 the zeroth Fitting ideal. Then, denoting $\mathcal{O}_{C_p,0} = \mathcal{O}_{S,0}/I_{C_p}$, one constructs the discriminant space $(\Delta_p, 0)$ as the image of $(C_p, 0)$ by p , defined in $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ by the ideal :

$$I_{\Delta_p} := F_0(p_*\mathcal{O}_{C_p,0}).$$

Now the space $(\Delta_p, 0)$ may be both non-reduced at a generic point of one of its components, and have an embedded component at 0. We denote Δ_{div} the divisorial part of $(\Delta_p, 0)$ i.e. we do not consider the possible embedded component at 0 (the reader will find more detail on all this in [B-L-2] § 3).

The following lemma was called Lê-Greuel formula in [B-L-2] 3.9 :

Lemma 4.1. — *With the notation as above, for any line $L : \alpha x + \beta y = 0$ in \mathbb{C}^2 , denoting by $(\cdot)_0$ the intersection number at 0, we have the following equality :*

$$(4) \quad (\Delta_{div} \cdot L)_0 = \mu(p^{-1}(L), 0) + \deg(p) - 1,$$

where μ is the Milnor number in the sense of [Bu-Gr].

Remark 4.2. — From the definition 2.1 of general elements applied to $J = (f, g)$, and Bertini's theorem, it is easy to see that for generic values of the numbers $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ the element $\alpha f + \beta g$ of the linear pencil defined by f, g is a general element of the ideal J (cf. also [B-L-1]).

Now with the formula (4) above, one deduces the following :

Corollary 4.3 (of theorem 2.3). — *Let $J = (f, g)$ be an m -primary ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$. The elements $\alpha f + \beta g$ with $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ which are general elements of the ideal J (in the sense of def. 2.1) are exactly the inverse-images $p^{-1}(L)$ of the lines $L : \alpha x + \beta y = 0$ transversal to the discriminant in lemma 4.1.*

Proof. — The formula (4) gives the equivalence between minimal Milnor number in the pencil and minimal intersection number $(\Delta_{div} \cdot L)_0$; by remark 4.2 we already know that the minimum Milnor number in J is obtained by elements of the pencil, and we conclude by theorem 2.3. \square

Now, we may also compare two projections $p = (f, g)$ and $p' = (f', g')$ such that the corresponding ideals (f, g) and (f', g') have the same integral closure I . We then know that $\deg(p) = \deg(p') = e(I)$ and from the foregoing, the generic Milnor numbers in the two pencils defined by (f, g) and (f', g') are both equal to the same number μ_I as defined in formula (3). Then formula (4) yields :

Corollary 4.4. — *Let (f, g) and (f', g') be two m -primary ideals on $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$ having the same integral closure I , the multiplicity of the discriminant of the projections p defined by f, g and p' defined by f', g' onto \mathbb{C}^2 are the same, equal to :*

$$(5) \quad e(\Delta_p, 0) = \mu_I + e(I) - 1.$$

In the special case of any projection $p = (f, g)$ with $\deg(p)$ equal to the multiplicity $e(S, 0)$ of the germ $(S, 0)$ (which is by definition the multiplicity $e(m)$), by a theorem of Rees (cf. [Te-2] p. 340), (f, g) is a reduction of m . Hence, for any such projection we have the following formula :

$$(6) \quad e(\Delta_p, 0) = \mu^2 + \mu^1,$$

where μ^2 is the generic Milnor number μ_m in m , and $\mu^1 = e(S, 0) - 1$. The notation μ^i follows Teissier (cf. [Te-2] ex. 2.2 p. 423) where the $\mu^i(X, 0)$ denote in general the Milnor number of the intersection of a hypersurface $(X, 0)$ with a “general enough” linear subspace of dimension i . Here our $(S, 0)$ is no longer a hypersurface so that the Milnor number is in the sense of [Bu-Gr].

Acknowledgement The idea of making more explicit the consequences of our previous work [B-L-1] partly arose from the conversations I had at the CIRM with several “Franco-Japanese” mathematicians. I would like to thank them for their interest and the organizers for such a nice opportunity.

References

- [B-L-1] R. Bondil, D.T. Lê, *Caractérisations des éléments superficiels d'un idéal*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, t. **332**, Sér. I (2001), 717-722.
- [B-L-2] R. Bondil, D.T. Lê, *Résolution des singularités de surfaces par éclatements normalisés*, in Trends in Singularities, 31–81, ed. by A. Libgober and M. Tibar, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2002.
- [Bo] R. Bondil, *Géométrie des problèmes de multiplicité et équisingularité dans un idéal*, Thèse, Université de Provence, 2002. Available at <http://bibcmi.univ-mrs.fr/>.
- [Bu-Gr] R.O. Buchweitz, G.M. Greuel, *The Milnor number and deformations of complex curve singularities*, Invent. Math. **58** (1980), 241-281.
- [Ca] E. Casas-Alvero, *Singularities of plane curves*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **276**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000.
- [Fl-Vo] H. Flenner, W. Vogel, *On multiplicities of local rings*, Manuscripta Math. **78** (1993), 85-97.

- [Ga-Ma] T. Gaffney, D. Massey, *Trends in equisingularity theory*, in Singularity theory (Liverpool, 1996), 207–248, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **263**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1999.
- [GS] G. Gonzalez-Sprinberg, *Cycle maximal et invariant d'Euler local des singularités isolées de surfaces*, *Topology* **21**, No 4 (1982), 401-408.
- [Lê-1] Lê D.T., *Sur un critère d'équisingularité*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A* **272** (1971), 138-140.
- [Lê-2] Lê D.T., *Calculation of Milnor number of isolated singularity of complete intersection*, *Funkt. Analiz i Ego Pril.* **8** (1974), 45-49.
- [LJ] M. Lejeune-Jalabert, *Linear systems with infinitely near base conditions and complete ideals in dimension two*, in Singularity Theory (Trieste 1991), 345-369, ed. by D.T. Lê, K. Saito, B. Teissier, World Scientific, 1995.
- [LJ-Te] M. Lejeune-Jalabert, B. Teissier, *Cloture intégrale des idéaux et équisingularité*, Séminaire 1973-74, Ecole Polytechnique.
- [Li] J. Lipman, *Equimultiplicity, reduction and blowing-up*, in Commutative Algebra, Analytic methods, ed. by R.N. Draper, Dekker New York (1982), 111-147.
- [Mo] M. Morales, *Calcul de quelques invariants des singularités de surface normale*, in Knots, braids and singularities (Plans-sur-Bex, 1982), 191–203, Monogr. Enseign. Math., **31**, Enseignement Math., 1983.
- [S-Z] P. Samuel, O. Zariski, *Commutative algebra*, Vol. 2, Graduate Text in Math. **29**, Springer Verlag, 1975.
- [Sn] J. Snoussi, *Limites d'espaces tangents à une surface normale*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **73** (2001), 61-88.
- [Te-1] B. Teissier, *The hunting of invariant in the geometry of discriminants*, in Real and complex singularities (Oslo 1976), 565-677, ed. by P. Holm, Nordhoff & Sjøthoff, 1977.
- [Te-2] B. Teissier, *Variétés polaires II, Multiplicités polaires, sections planes et conditions de Whitney*, in Algebraic Geometry (La Rabida 1981), 314-491, Lect. Notes in Math. **961**, Springer Verlag, 1982.